

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/091,547	03/07/2002	Hirokazu Morimoto	P 290737 TYHT-02S0039	P 290737 TYHT-02S0039 9094	
909	7590 . 05/06/2003				
PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP EXAMINER			INER		
P.O. BOX 10 MCLEAN, V			KIM, RICHARD H		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2882		
	DATE MAILED: 05/06/200				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.   Application No.   MORIMOTO, HIROKAZU	•			. /
Examiner   Richard H Kim   R		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Richard H Kim   2882		10/091,547 MORIMOTO, HIROKA		,
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Editations of size many be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.158(a). In oevent, however, may a reply be timely filed  # the pariod for reply supplies used the provisions of 3 CFR 1.158(a). In oevent, however, may a reply be timely filed  # the pariod for reply supplies used the provisions of 3 CFR 1.704(b).  ## The pariod for reply supplies used to extended section from the 100 server. It reply with the statule of reply with the section of the provision of the communication.  ## Falley to the pariod for reply supplies and the provision of the communication of the communication of the communication.  ## Falley within the set or extended section for reply with by statute, cause the application to become ARANGOMED (30 U.S.C. § 1.31).  ## Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALINO DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  THE REPROSE TO THE MALINO DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  THE REPROSE OF STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALINO THE MALI				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALINIO DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  THE MALINIO DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  If the period for reply sepocified above is less than filting (30) days, a reply within the statutory prind unique to reply sepocified above is less than filting (30) days, a reply within the statutory prind unique to reply sepocified above is less than filting (30) days, a reply within the statutory unique to reply sepocified above is less than filting (30) days, a reply within the statutory unique to reply sepocified above is less than filting (30) days, a reply within the statutory unique to reply sepocified above is less than filting (30) days, a reply within the statutory unique to reply sepocified above is less than filting (30) days, a reply unique to reply sepocified above is less than filting (30) days, a reply unique to reply sepocified above is less than filting (30) days, a reply unique to reply sepocified above is less than filting (30) days, a reply unique to reply sepocified above is less than filting (30) days, a reply days and sepocified above reply the communication, even if truly filting (40) days will be considered than search and		ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address	
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 07 March 2002 is/are: a) cocepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) opposed by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	<ul> <li>THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  vs will be considered timely.  I the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 07 March 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawings correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some ° c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ○ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ○ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ○ The drawing(s) filed on 07 March 2002 is/are: a) ○ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ○ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ○ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. ○ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s) Unional Paper No(s)  5) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  5) □ Notice	2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 March 2002</u> is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120  13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1 □ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	closed in accordance with the practice under the			
5	4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application			
6)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		J
7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 March 2002</u> is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)  approved b)  disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
8  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10  The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 March 2002</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-982)  3     Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5     Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.			
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 07 March 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
9	•	election requirement.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 07 March 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  8) ☐ Other:	··· _			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	· <u> </u>			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:	•			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:		- · ·		
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			oved by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  6) Other:				
13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6)  Other:		arrimor.		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:		priority under 35 H S C & 119/a	a) <sub>r</sub> (d) or (f)	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) ☐ Other:		priority under do o.o.o. 3 1 ro(a	, (a) or (i).	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  6) Other:	, , , <del></del>	s have been received		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  6) Other:	<u> </u>		ion No.	
a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:	Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur	ity documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National Stage	
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application	า).
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:		• •		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	Attachment(s)			
	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I		

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Imabayashi et al. (US 6,535,264 B1) in view of Nishiyama et al. (US 6,507,385 B1).

Referring to claim 1, Imabayashi et al. discloses a device comprising a liquid crystal display panel which comprises (see col. 4, lines 63-65) which comprises a pair of substrates facing each other (see col. 11, lines 19-29), spacers formed on at least one of the substrate and configured to provide a clearance between the substrate (see col. 7, lines 42-40; Fig. 6, ref. BZ), and a liquid crystal material filling the clearance between the substrates (see Fig. 6, ref. LC), and a support member supporting the panel and configured to make the panel stand during use of the module (see Fig. 13), wherein, where the temperature of the panel is at 25 degrees Celsius (see col. 8, lines 6-10), the spacers are elastically deformed by pressure applied from the substrate (see col. 11, lines 14-29). However, the reference does not disclose that the spacer is columnar and that at 50 degrees Celsius, the spacer is still elastically deformed.

Nishiyama et al. discloses columnar spacers (see Fig. 1, ref. 4, ref. 3); and that at 50 degrees Celsius, the spacer is deformed (see col. 9, lines 29-31).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ columnar spacers since such a modification provides no added

Application/Control Number: 10/091,547 Page 3

Art Unit: 2882

advantage, is used for a particular purpose or solves a stated problem. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally as well with the circular spacers disclosed in Imabayashi because the spacers ability to maintain a consistent distance between the two substrates is not effected by the shape of the spacer. Moreover, having the spacer elastically deformed at 50 degrees Celsius would enable the spacer to still be in a state of deformation when the area between the substrate is increased at 50 degrees Celsius, thereby allowing the area to be further increased due to an additional rise in temperature while maintaining uniformity across the display, allowing for increased performance capability.

Referring to claim 9, Imabayashi et al. discloses a device comprising a liquid crystal display panel (see col. 4, lines 63-65) which comprises a pair of substrates facing each other (see col. 11, lines 19-29), spacers formed on at least one of the substrates and configured to provide a clearance between the substrates (see col. 7, lines 42-40; Fig. 6, ref. BZ), and a liquid crystal material filling the clearance between the substrates (see Fig. 6, ref. LC); and a support member supporting the panel and configured to make the panel stand during use of the module (see Fig. 13), wherein the spacers are elastically deformed at 25 degrees Celsius by applied pressure from the substrate (see col. 8, lines 6-10; see col. 11, lines 14-29). The reference further discloses that when the temperature rises, the liquid crystal expands due to increased temperature, enabling the spacer to change in height (see col. 9, lines 24-27). However, the reference does not disclose that the spacer is columnar and that  $H_0$ ,  $H_1$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\Delta D_1$  satisfy a relationship represented by the inequality:

$$H_0 - H_1 + 25 * \beta * H_0 > \Delta D_1$$

Art Unit: 2882

Where  $H_0$  represents a height of the spacers at 25 degrees Celsius under a state that the pressure is removed,  $H_1$  represents a height of the spacers at 25 degrees Celsius under a state that the pressure is applied,  $\beta$  represents a linear expansion coefficient of the spacers, and  $\Delta D_1$  represents an increase in the distance between the substrates which is calculated from an increase in volume of the liquid crystal material caused by a temperature elevation from 25 degrees to 50 degrees Celsius.

Nishiyama et al. discloses columnar spacers (see Fig. 1, ref. 4, ref. 3); and that at 50 degrees Celsius, the spacer is deformed (see col. 9, lines 29-31).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ columnar spacers since such a modification provides no added advantage, is used for a particular purpose or solves a stated problem. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally as well with the circular spacers disclosed in Imabayashi because the spacer's ability to maintain a consistent distance between the two substrates is not effected by the shape of the spacer. Moreover, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the invention satisfy the relationship represented by  $H_0 - H_1 + 25 * \beta * H_0 > \Delta D_1$ , since manipulating a relationship with already known parameters to obtain optimum results requires routine skill in the art. Moreover, such an inequality would enable the spacer to still be in a state of deformation when the area between the substrate is increased at 50 degrees Celsius, thereby allowing the area to be further increased due to an additional rise in temperature while maintaining uniformity across the display, allowing for increased performance capability.

Application/Control Number: 10/091,547

Art Unit: 2882

Referring to claim 15, Imabayashi et al. discloses a device comprising a liquid crystal display panel (see col. 4, lines 63-65) which comprises a pair of substrates facing each other (see col. 11, lines 19-29), spacers formed on at least one of the substrates and configured to provide a clearance between the substrates (see col. 7, lines 42-40; Fig. 6, ref. BZ), and a liquid crystal material filling the clearance between the substrates (see Fig. 6, ref. LC); and a support member supporting the panel and configured to make the panel stand during use of the module (see Fig. 13), wherein the spacers are elastically deformed at 25 degrees Celsius by applied pressure from the substrate (see col. 8, lines 6-10; see col. 11, lines 14-29). The reference further discloses that when the temperature rises, the liquid crystal expands due to increased temperature, enabling the spacer to change in height (see col. 9, lines 24-27). However, the reference does not disclose that the spacer is columnar and that  $H_0$ ,  $H_1$ , and  $\Delta D_1$  satisfy a relationship represented by the inequality:

$$H_0 - H_1 > \Delta D_1$$

Where  $H_0$  represents a height of the spacers at 25 degrees Celsius under a state that the pressure is removed,  $H_1$  represents a height of the spacers at 25 degrees Celsius under a state that the pressure is applied and  $\Delta D_1$  represents an increase in the distance between the substrates which is calculated from an increase in volume of the liquid crystal material caused by a temperature elevation from 25 degrees to 50 degrees Celsius.

Nishiyama et al. discloses columnar spacers (see Fig. 1, ref. 4, ref. 3); and that at 50 degrees Celsius, the spacer is deformed (see col. 9, lines 29-31).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ columnar spacers since such a modification provides no added

Application/Control Number: 10/091,547

Art Unit: 2882

advantage, is used for a particular purpose or solves a stated problem. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally as well with the circular spacers disclosed in Imabayashi because the spacers ability to maintain a consistent distance between the two substrates is not effected by the shape of the spacer. Moreover, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the invention satisfy the relationship represented by  $H_0$  -  $H_1$   $\rightarrow \Delta D_1$ , since manipulating a relationship with already known parameters to obtain optimum results requires routine skill in the art. Moreover, such an inequality would enable the spacer to still be in a state of deformation when the area between the substrate is increased at 50 degrees Celsius, thereby allowing the area to be further increased due to an additional rise in temperature while maintaining uniformity across the display, allowing for increased performance capability.

Referring to claims 4 and 12, Imabayashi et al. and Nishiyama et al. disclose the device previously recited. However, Imayabashi et al. does not disclose that the temperature of the panel rises from 25 to 70 degrees Celsius and wherein  $H_0$ ,  $H_1$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\Delta D_1$  satisfy a relationship represented b an inequality:

$$H_o - H_1 + 45 * \beta * H_o > \Delta D_2$$
,

where  $\Delta D_2$  represents an increase in distance between the substrates which is calculated from an increase in volume of the liquid crystal material caused by a temperature elevation from 25 to 70 degrees Celsius.

Nishiyama et al. discloses a device wherein the temperature of the panel rises from 25 to 70 degrees Celsius, causing an expansion of the liquid crystal material resulting in an increase

Application/Control Number: 10/091,547

Art Unit: 2882

volume between the two substrates (see col. 11, lines 1-8, col. 9, lines 28-30); and that at 70 degrees Celsius, the spacer is still elastically deformed (see col. 9, lines 29-31).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the temperature of the panel rise from 25 to 70 degrees Celsius since one would be motivated to increase the elastic range of the spacer. By having the panel rise from 25 to 70 degrees Celsius, the substrate would be able to maintain a display with no "nonuniformity of the cell thickness" (see col. 9, lines 43-45), at a greater temperature.

Moreover, manipulating a relationship with already known parameters to obtain optimum results requires routine skill in the art. Further, such an inequality would enable the spacer to still be in a state of deformation when the area between the substrate is increased at 70 degrees Celsius, thereby allowing the area to be further increased due to an additional rise in temperature while maintaining uniformity across the display, allowing for increased performance capability.

Referring to claim 18, Imabayashi et al. and Nishiyama et al. disclose the device previously recited. However, Imayabashi et al. does not disclose that  $H_0$ ,  $H_1$  and  $\Delta D_2$  satisfy a relationship represented b an inequality:

$$H_0 - H_1 > \Delta D_2$$

where  $\Delta D_2$  represents an increase in distance between the substrates which is calculated from an increase in volume of the liquid crystal material caused by a temperature elevation from 25 to 70 degrees Celsius.

Nishiyama et al. discloses a device wherein the temperature of the panel rises from 25 to 70 degrees Celsius, causing an expansion of the liquid crystal material resulting in an increase

Application/Control Number: 10/091,547 Page 8

Art Unit: 2882

volume between the two substrates (see col. 11, lines 1-8, col. 9, lines 28-30); and that at 70 degrees Celsius, the spacer is still elastically deformed (see col. 9, lines 29-31).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the temperature of the panel rise from 25 to 70 degrees Celsius since one would be motivated to increase the elastic range of the spacer. By having the panel rise from 25 to 70 degrees Celsius, the substrate would be able to maintain a display with no "nonuniformity of the cell thickness" (see col. 9, lines 43-45), at a greater temperature.

Moreover, manipulating a relationship with already known parameters to obtain optimum results requires routine skill in the art. Further, such an inequality would enable the spacer to still be in a state of deformation when the area between the substrate is increased at 70 degrees Celsius, thereby allowing the area to be further increased due to an additional rise in temperature while maintaining uniformity across the display, allowing for increased performance capability.

Referring to claims 2, 5, 7, 10, 13, 16 and 19, Imabayashi et al. and Nishiyama et al. disclose the device previously recited. Imabayashi et al. further discloses that liquid crystal device further comprises a light source configured to irradiate the panel with light (see Fig. 11, ref. BL), wherein a highest temperature reached by the panel by continuously light the light source is equal to or lower than 70 degree Celsius (see col. 8, lines 7-8). However the reference does not disclose that the highest temperature reached by the panel by continuously light the light source is equal to or lower than 50 degree Celsius.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art for the highest temperature reached by the panel by continuously light the light source is equal to or lower than 50 degree Celsius since such a modification would allow enough heat for the liquid crystal to

Art Unit: 2882

expand, and therefore enable deformation of the substrate. Either temperature would allow the invention to operate and reduce the irregularity of the screen (see col. 7, line 57), and therefore would be functionally equivalent.

Referring to claims 3, 6, 8, 11, 14, 17 and 20, Imabayashi et al. and Nishiyama et al. disclose the device previously recited. However, the references do not disclose that the display region has a diagonal dimension equal to or longer than 12 inches.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the display region have a diagonal dimension equal to or longer than 12 inches since such dimensions on a display region is well known in the art. Moreover, according to Imabayashi, the intended problem to be solved by the given invention is for relatively large display screens. Therefore, such a limitation would enable the invention to improve picture quality of the display region by reducing the irregularity of the screen (see col. 7, lines 57).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard H Kim whose telephone number is (703)305-4791. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H Kim can be reached on (703)305-3492. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)308-7722 for regular communications and (703)308-7724 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/091,547 Page 10

Art Unit: 2882

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

Richard H Kim Examiner Art Unit 2882

RHK April 30, 2003

> DAVID V. BRUCE PRIMARY EXAMINER